

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

					:_	
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/442,416	12/01/1999	AKIHIRO ICHIGE	2185-0382P	5804	
	75	590 12/26/2001				
	BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP P O BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040			EXAMINER		
				NAKARANI, DHIRAJLAL S		
			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1773	7	
				DATE MAILED: 12/26/2001		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>					A->-+					
		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)						
		09/442,416		ICHIGE ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit						
		D. S. Nakaran		1773						
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	<u> August 2001</u> .		•						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	nis action is nor	n-final.							
3)□										
Dispositi	on of Claims									
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	٦.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requ	irement.							
Applicati	on Papers			·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10)[] 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.								
-	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
14)□ A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)										
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)	Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTC						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/442,416

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention for the reasons of record set forth in Paragraph 2 of the Office Action mailed May 29, 2002 (Paper No. 5).
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed August 29, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In reference to rejection of claims 1-16 under 35 USC §112, first paragraph as not enabling applicants mainly argue that the Examiner has inappropriately shifted the burden to the applicants to show why a particular phenomena occurs. It is not a requirement of patentability that an inventor correctly set forth, or even know, how or why the invention works. Applicants believe that the higher tear strength in machine direction (i.e. direction of orientation) than the transverse direction is achieved in the multilayer film having at least two layers composed of different kinds of resin and the orientation of a multilayer film is carried at a temperature higher than low melting point resin and lower than higher melting point resin. Which results in a

Application/Control Number: 09/442,416

Art Unit: 1773

multilayer film the layer formed of high melting point resin orients and the layer of low melting point remains as unoriented.

These arguments are unpersuasive because the Examiner has not inappropriately shifted the burden to the applicants to show why a particular phenomena occurs. The Examiner has provided evidence showing what is well established phenomena is. In the instant disclosure the process of making an unidirectionally oriented multilayer film is commonly known method. There is no where in the specification shown criticality of orienting a multilayer film of different kinds of resin at a temperature above the lowest melting point resin and below higher melting point resin. Furthermore as evidence show that monoaxially oriented film (or layer) has lower tear strength in the direction of orientation compared to transverse direction. The unoriented layer or film expected to have tear strength equal in every direction. Thus in a multilayer film wherein one layer is monoaxially oriented and other layer is not oriented expected to have lower tear strength in the direction of orientation compared to transverse direction because the oriented layer will have higher tear strength in the transverse direction and unoriented layer has tear strength equal in all direction. In addition the monoaxially oriented multilayer film wherein one layer is oriented and other is not as analogous to the wood. The wood has tear strength lower in the length direction compared to the tear strength in the transverse direction since wood fibers are aligned in the length direction and lignin holds wood fibers together is analogous to the unoriented layer. For this reason wood easily splits in length direction.

Application/Control Number: 09/442,416

Art Unit: 1773

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. S. Nakarani whose telephone number is (703) 308-2413. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Paul J. Thibodeau, can be reached on (703) 308-2367. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Nakarani/af

D. S. NAKARANI PRIMARY EXAMINER

December 19, 2001